

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION

JOSEPHUS YOUNG, JR.

Plaintiff,

vs.

Civil Action No.

DIVERSIFIED COLLECTION SERVICES, INC.

Defendant,

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

JOSEPHUS YOUNG, JR., (“Plaintiff”), through the undersigned counsel, ALEX SIMANOVSKY & ASSOCIATES, LLC, alleges the following against DIVERSIFIED COLLECTION SERVICES, INC., (“Defendant”):

INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action for actual and statutory damages brought by Plaintiff, Josephus Young, Jr., an individual consumer, against Defendant, Diversified Collection Services, Inc., for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* (hereinafter “FDCPA”), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices.

JURISDICTION

2. Jurisdiction of this court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1337. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. Venue in this District is proper in that the Defendant transacts business here.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff, Josephus Young, Jr., is a natural person with a permanent residence in Atlanta, Fulton County, Georgia 30349.

4. Upon information and belief the Defendant, Diversified Collection Services, Inc., (hereinafter "Defendant") is a business entity engaged in the business of collecting debt in this state and in several other states, with its principal place of business located at 333 North Canyons Parkway, Suite 100, Livermore, Alameda County, California 94551. The principal purpose of Defendant is the collection of debts in this state and several other states, and Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another.

5. Defendant is engaged in the collection of debts from consumers using the mail and telephone. Defendant regularly attempts to collect consumer debts alleged to be due to another. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

6. Upon information and belief, Defendant began placing collection calls to Plaintiff in or before January of 2012.

7. The debt Defendant is attempting to collect on is an alleged obligation of a consumer to pay money arising out of a transaction in which the money, property, insurance or services which are the subject of the transaction are primarily for personal, family, or household purposes, whether or not such obligation has been reduced to judgment.

8. On or about January 18, 2012, and January 20, 2012, Defendant, in connection with the collection of the alleged debt, stated to Plaintiff that Defendant was going to get a court order to garnish his wages and take his assets.

9. Defendant has no standing to commence legal proceedings on behalf of the creditor.

10. Defendant is a debt collection company and as a debt collection company attempting to collection an alleged debt, Defendant can only refer the matter back to the creditor with a recommendation that they pursue legal action.

11. The representations made to Plaintiff by Defendant regarding legal action were false.

12. The natural consequences of Defendant's statements and actions was to unjustly condemn and vilify Plaintiff for him non-payment of the debt he allegedly owed.

13. The natural consequences of Defendant's statements and actions was to produce an unpleasant and/or hostile situation between Defendant and Plaintiff.

14. The natural consequences of Defendant's statements and actions was to cause Plaintiff mental distress.

15. Defendant utilized unfair and unconscionable means to collect on Plaintiff's alleged debt, by lying to and misleading Plaintiff and speaking to him in an offensive and verbally abusive manner.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

16. Plaintiff repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference to the foregoing paragraphs.

17. Defendants violated the FDCPA. Defendants' violations include, but are not limited to, the following:

- (a) Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequences of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of an alleged debt; and
- (b) Defendant violated §1692d(2) of the FDCPA by using obscene or profane language or language the natural consequences of which is to abuse the hear or reader in connection with the collection of an alleged debt; and

- (c) Defendant violated §1692e of the FDCPA by using a false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of the alleged debt; and
- (d) Defendant violated §1692e(4) of the FDCPA by giving the false representation or implication that nonpayment of the alleged debt will result in the garnishment of wages of any person when such action is unlawful and the Defendant does not intend to take such action; and
- (e) Defendant violated §1692e(4) of the FDCPA by giving the false representation or implication that nonpayment of the alleged debt will result in the seizure of any property of any person when such action is unlawful and the Defendant does not intend to take such action; and
- (f) Defendant violated §1692e(5) of the FDCPA by threatening to take action that the Defendant does not intend to take and/or the Defendant cannot legally take; and
- (g) Defendant violated §1692e(10) of the FDCPA by using false representation or deceptive means in connection with the collection the alleged debt; and
- (h) Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair or unconscionable means in connection with the collection of an alleged debt.

18. Defendant's acts as described above were done intentionally with the purpose of coercing Plaintiff to pay the alleged debt.

19. As a result of the foregoing violations of the FDCPA, Defendant is liable to the Plaintiff, Josephus Young, Jr., for declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney fees.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered against Defendant, Diversified Collection Services, Inc., for the following:

- A. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA.
- B. Actual damages.
- C. Statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.

- D. Costs and reasonable attorney fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.
- E. Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law.
- F. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, JOSEPHUS YOUNG, JR., demands trial by jury in this action.

This 6th day of February, 2012.

ALEX SIMANOVSKY & ASSOCIATES LLC

/s/ Alex Simanovsky
Alex Simanovsky, Esq.
GA Bar No. 646874
Alex Simanovsky & Associates, LLC
2300 Henderson Mill Road
Suite 300
Atlanta, GA 30345
(770) 414-1002
alex@fdcpalawyeronline.com

Attorney for Plaintiff